

Constrained Interpolants with Minimal $W^{k,p}$ -Norm

LARS-ERIK ANDERSSON AND PER-ÅNDERS IVERT*

*Department of Mathematics, Linköping University,
S-581 83 Linköping, Sweden*

Communicated by Jaak Peetre

Received April 9, 1985

The purpose of the present work is to give a relatively simple treatment of the following interpolation problem. Find a function which interpolates given points and whose k th derivative is non-negative with minimal L^p -norm.

This and related problems have stimulated considerable interest in the past decade and have been solved in special cases. Without the restriction that the k th derivative be non-negative, the case $p = \infty$ is known as Favard's problem [1]. The constrained problem has been solved for $k = 2$, $p = 2$ by Hornung [2] and for $k = 2$, $1 < p \leq \infty$ by Iliev and Pollul [3, 4].

We treat here the case $k \geq 2$, $1 < p < \infty$, and our approach, although traditional from the point of view of variational calculus, differs considerably from the methods used in the above-mentioned work. With our method it is also easy to treat the slightly more general case with a constraint of the form $\varphi(t) \leq f^{(k)}(t) \leq \psi(t)$ for the interpolating function f .

I. PRELIMINARIES

Let $[a, b]$ be an interval of \mathbb{R} and let t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{N+k} be points of $[a, b]$ with $a \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{N+k} \leq b$. Here N and k are integers with $N \geq 1$, $k \geq 2$. Let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{N+k} be real numbers. For $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$, the l th divided differences of the set of data $\{(t_i, y_i); i = 1, 2, \dots, N+k\}$ are denoted by Δ'_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, N+k-l$, and defined recursively by

$$\Delta'_i = y_i, \quad \Delta'_i = \frac{l}{t_{i+l} - t_i} (\Delta'_{i+1} - \Delta'_{i-1}) \quad \text{for } l \geq 1.$$

For a continuous function f on $[a, b]$, the k th difference quotients

* Partially supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council (NFR).

$f[t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+k}]$ are defined as the k th divided differences of the data $\{(t_1, f(t_1)), (t_2, f(t_2)), \dots, (t_{N+k}, f(t_{N+k}))\}$. It is easily seen that $f[t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+k}] = 0$ if f is a polynomial of degree less than k .

Let p be a real number with $1 < p < \infty$ and let q be its dual exponent: $1/p + 1/q = 1$. $W^{k,p}(a, b)$ is the Sobolev space of measurable functions on $[a, b]$, having k th order derivatives belonging to $L^p(a, b)$, i.e., $W^{k,p}(a, b) = \{f \in C^{k-1}[a, b]; f^{(k-1)}$ is absolutely continuous, $\int_a^b |f^{(k)}(t)|^p dt < \infty\}$. The normalized B -splines $M_{i,k}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are defined by

$$M_{i,k}(t) = g_{k,t}[t_i, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_{i+k}], \quad \text{where } g_{k,t}(s) = k(s-t)_+^{k-1}$$

and a_+ is the positive part of the number a : $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$. Also $a_- = \max(0, -a)$ will denote the negative part of a . For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, $M_{i,k}$ is then a non-negative function with support $[t_i, t_{i+k}]$ and $\int_a^b M_{i,k}(t) dt = k!$

For $f \in W^{k,p}(a, b)$, the relation

$$f[x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{i+k}] = \frac{1}{k!} \int_a^b f^{(k)}(t) M_{i,k}(t) dt, \quad (1)$$

known as Peano's theorem, now follows immediately from Taylor's theorem

$$f(s) = \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \frac{f^{(v)}(a)}{v!} (s-a)^v + \frac{1}{k!} \int_a^b f^{(k)}(t) g_{k,t}(s) dt.$$

We introduce the class

$$F = \{f \in W^{k,p}(a, b); f^{(k)} \geq 0 \text{ and } f(t_i) = y_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N+k\}$$

and consider the problem

$$(P) \quad \text{Find } f \in F, \text{ minimizing } \int_a^b f^{(k)}(t)^p dt.$$

Using (1), it is easy to prove that the operator d^k/dt^k is a bijective mapping of F onto the class

$$G = \left\{ g \in L^p(a, b); g \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_a^b g(t) M_{i,k}(t) dt = k! \Delta_i^k \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N \right\},$$

and thus problem (P) is equivalent to

$$(P') \quad \text{Find } g \in G, \text{ minimizing } \int_a^b g(t)^p dt.$$

II. RESULTS

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $\Delta_i^k > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and that the class F is nonempty. Then problem (P) has a unique solution f , and there are real numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ such that*

$$f^{(k)}(t) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i M_{i,k}(t) \right)_+^{q-1} \quad \text{a.e. in } (a, b).$$

Moreover, there exists only one function $f \in F$ with the property that $f^{(k)}(t) = (\sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i M_{i,k}(t))_+^{q-1}$ for some real $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_N$, namely the minimizing function for problem (P).

Before proving this theorem we state an auxiliary result, the proof of which is omitted.

LEMMA. *Let A be a measurable subset of a subinterval (t_j, t_{j+1}) and suppose that $|A| > 0$. Then the restrictions to A of the functions $M_{i,k}$ with $\max(1, j+1-k) \leq i \leq \min(j, N)$ are linearly independent on A .*

Proof of the Theorem. We consider the equivalent problem (P'). Since G is a closed, convex, and nonempty subset of the strictly convex Banach space $L^p(a, b)$, problem (P') has a unique solution g . Put

$$E = \{t \in (a, b); g(t) > 0\}, \quad E_i = E \cap (t_i, t_{i+k})$$

and, for $\delta > 0$,

$$E^\delta = \{t \in (a, b); g(t) > \delta\}, \quad E_i^\delta = E^\delta \cap (t_i, t_{i+k}).$$

We then have $E_i = \bigcup_{\delta > 0} E_i^\delta$ and, since $\Delta_i^k > 0, |E_i| > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Let the positive number δ be so small that $|E_i^\delta| > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. For every $\varphi \in L^x(a, b)$ with $\text{supp } \varphi \subset E^\delta$ and $\int_a^b \varphi(t) M_{i,k}(t) dt = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, the function $g + \varepsilon\varphi$ belongs to G if $|\varepsilon| < \delta / \text{sup } |\varphi|$, and thus $(d/d\varepsilon) \int_a^b (g(t) + \varepsilon\varphi(t))^p dt|_{\varepsilon=0} = 0$, i.e., $\int_a^b g(t)^{p-1} \varphi(t) dt = 0$. This implies that the restriction of g^{p-1} to E^δ is a linear combination of the spline functions

$$g(t)^{p-1} = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(\delta) M_{i,k}(t), \quad t \in E^\delta.$$

From the lemma it follows that the coefficients $\alpha_i(\delta)$ are uniquely determined and thus, since E^δ increases with decreasing δ , they are in fact independent of δ .

From this we conclude that

$$g(t)^{p-1} = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i M_{i,k}(t), \quad t \in E$$

or, equivalently,

$$g(t) = \chi_E(t) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i M_{i,k}(t) \right)_+^{q-1}, \quad t \in (a, b)$$

where χ_E is the characteristic function of the set E .

We now want to show that the factor $\chi_E(t)$ can be dropped, or, equivalently, that $|F \setminus E| = 0$, where

$$F = \left\{ t \in (a, b); \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i M_{i,k}(t) > 0 \right\}.$$

Put $\psi = \chi_{F \setminus E}$. We again let δ be so small that $|E_i^\delta| > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then it is possible to find a function $\varphi \in L^\infty(a, b)$ with $\text{supp } \varphi \in E^\delta$ and

$$\int_{E^\delta} \varphi(t) M_{i,k}(t) dt = - \int_{F \setminus E} M_{i,k}(t) dt,$$

i.e.,

$$\int_a^b (\varphi(t) + \psi(t)) M_{i,k}(t) dt = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Now, if $0 < \varepsilon < \delta / \text{sup } \varphi$, the function $g + \varepsilon(\varphi + \psi)$ belongs to G , and making the Euler variation as above with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$, we obtain

$$\int_a^b g(t)^{p-1} (\varphi(t) + \psi(t)) dt \geq 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\int_{E^\delta} \varphi(t) \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i M_{i,k}(t) dt + \int_{F \setminus E} g(t)^{p-1} dt \geq 0.$$

Now, since $g(t) = 0$ on $F \setminus E$, we get

$$0 \leq \int_{E^\delta} \varphi(t) \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i M_{i,k}(t) dt = - \int_{F \setminus E} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i M_{i,k}(t) dt,$$

and since $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i M_{i,k}(t) > 0$ on $F \setminus E$, we conclude that $|F \setminus E| = 0$, and the first part of the theorem is proved.

To prove the last statement in the theorem, suppose that $g = f^{(k)} \in G$ is given with the property

$$g(t) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i M_{i,k}(t) \right)_+^{q-1}$$

for some constants $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_N$. Take $E = \{t; g(t) > 0\}$. Now let $h \in G$ be arbitrary. Then $\int_a^b (h(t) - g(t)) M_{i,k}(t) dt = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Therefore $\int_a^b (h(t) - g(t)) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i M_{i,k}(t) dt = 0$, and $\int_E (h(t) - g(t)) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i M_{i,k}(t) dt + \int_{(a,b) \setminus E} (h(t) - g(t)) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i M_{i,k}(t) dt = 0$. On E we have $g(t)^{p-1} = \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i M_{i,k}(t)$ and outside E we have

$$h(t) - g(t) = h(t) \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i M_{i,k}(t) \leq 0.$$

Consequently $\int_E (h(t) - g(t)) g(t)^{p-1} dt \geq 0$, and using Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\int_a^b g(t)^p dt \leq \int_a^b g(t)^{p-1} h(t) dt \leq \left(\int_a^b g(t)^p dt \right)^{1-(1/p)} \left(\int_a^b h(t)^p dt \right)^{1/p}$$

which implies that $\int_a^b g(t)^p dt \leq \int_a^b h(t)^p dt$, so g must be the uniquely determined minimizing function. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark. The same technique applies if some of the Δ_i^k are allowed to be zero. In this case, if $\Delta_i^k = 0$, g must vanish on (t_i, t_{i+k}) , and a representation like that in Theorem 1 is valid, where α_i is finite if $\Delta_i^k > 0$ and $\alpha_i = -\infty$ if $\Delta_i^k = 0$.

Now Theorem 1 is easily generalized in the following manner. For given measurable functions φ and ψ with $-\infty \leq \varphi \leq \psi \leq +\infty$ and

$$\int_a^b \varphi_+(t) dt < \infty, \quad \int_a^b \psi_-(t) dt < \infty,$$

let us define

$$F_{\varphi,\psi} = \{f \in W^{k,p}(a, b); \varphi(t) \leq f^{(k)}(t) \leq \psi(t) \text{ and } f(t_i) = y_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N+k\}.$$

Consider the problem

($P_{\varphi,\psi}$) Find $f \in F_{\varphi,\psi}$ minimizing $\int_a^b |f^{(k)}(t)|^p dt$.
We then have

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that*

$$\int_a^b \varphi(t) M_{i,k}(t) dt < k! \Delta_i^k < \int_a^b \psi(t) M_{i,k}(t) dt$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and that the class $F_{\varphi, \psi}$ is nonempty. Then problem $(P_{\varphi, \psi})$ has a unique solution f , and there are real numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ such that

$$f^{(k)}(t) = \min \left\{ \max \left[\left| \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i M_{i,k}(t) \right|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i M_{i,k}(t) \right), \varphi(t) \right], \psi(t) \right\}.$$

Moreover, there exists only one function $f \in F_{\varphi, \psi}$ of this form.

The proof, which is omitted, is obtained after only minor modifications of the previous one.

REFERENCES

1. J. FAVARD, Sur l'interpolation, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **19** (1940), 281-306.
2. U. HORNUNG, Interpolation by smooth functions under restrictions on the derivatives, *J. Approx. Theory* **28** (1980), 227-237.
3. G. L. ILIEV AND W. POLLUL, Convex interpolation with minimal L_r -norm of the second derivative, *Math. Z.* **186** (1984), 49-56.
4. G. L. ILIEV, AND W. POLLUL, "Convex Interpolation by Functions with Minimal L_r -norm ($1 < p < \infty$) of the k th Derivative," Sonderforschungsbereich, p. 72, Universität Bonn Preprint No. 665.